

Title: The Acute Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery From Maximal Eccentric Exercise

Running Title: Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

Laboratory: Northern Illinois University Neuromuscular Laboratory

Authors: Paul M Morgan MS.Ed
Amanda J Salacinski PhD
Matthew A Stults-Kolehmainen PhD

Dept.: Kinesiology and Physical Education

Inst.: Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, USA

Corres Author: Paul M Morgan
260 Cimmeron Trail
Glendora, CA 91741
(916) 622-0196
PaulMinWooKimMorgan@gmail.com

Authors: Amanda J Salacinski
Matthew A Stults-Kolehmainen

3. ABSTRACT and KEY WORDS

Flotation restricted environmental stimulation technique (R.E.S.T.) involves compromising senses of sound, sight, and touch by creating a quiet, dark environment. The individual lies supine in a tank of Epsom salt and water heated to roughly skin temperature (34-35°C). This study was performed to determine if a one hour flotation R.E.S.T. session would aid in the recovery process following maximal eccentric knee extensions and flexions. Twenty-four untrained male students (23.29 ± 2.1 yr, 184.17 ± 6.85 cm, 85.16 ± 11.54 kg) participated in a randomized repeated measures cross-over study. The participants completed two exercise and recovery protocols: a one hour flotation R.E.S.T. session and a one hour seated control (passive recovery). After isometric muscle strength testing, participants were fatigued with eccentric isokinetic muscle contractions (50 repetitions at 60°/sec) of the non-dominant knee extensors and flexors. Blood lactate, blood glucose, heart rate, OMNI-rating of perceived exertion (OMNI-RPE), perceived pain, muscle soreness and isometric strength were collected before exercise, post-treatment, and 24 and 48 hours later. A multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) found that treatment had a significant main effect on blood lactate, while subsequent univariate ANOVAs found statistical significance with the immediate post treatment blood lactate measures. The results indicate flotation R.E.S.T. appears to have a significant impact on blood lactate and perceived pain compared to a one hour passive recovery session in untrained healthy males. No difference was found between conditions for muscle strength, blood glucose, muscle soreness, heart rate, or OMNI-RPE. Flotation R.E.S.T. may be utilized for recreational and professional athletes to help reduce blood lactate levels after eccentric exercise.

Key Words: Recovery, Flotation REST, Muscle Strength

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

4. Text

A. INTRODUCTION

To maximize overall performance an athlete must focus on both training and recovery. However, this period of rest after a training session is frequently overlooked and over time this may lead to overtraining and underperformance. During immediate recovery from intense bouts of exercise, the body often experiences acute muscle soreness due to acidic conditions of the blood, or lowering of the blood's pH, that stems from an accumulation of lactic acid, lactate, or H^+ (2,5,14). To combat this increase as well as the decrement in performance following exercise, individuals often partake in one or more recovery techniques. Over the past decade, many recovery methods have been systemically investigated, including massage, cryotherapy, contrast water immersion therapy, active recovery, compression garments, and sleep (3). Several of these methods are associated with enhanced post exercise muscular recovery, and performance along with a concomitant reduction in blood lactate (1,9,15,19, 23, 38). Each of these methods have limitations, such as risk of further tissue damage with massage, potentially extreme discomfort from cryotherapy or contrast water immersion, or the expense of numerous compression garments. Furthermore, all of these techniques have a small effect size.

However, a relatively unexplored method of recovery, flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique (R.E.S.T.), may have a greater effect size and fewer limitations. Flotation R.E.S.T. involves reduction of environmental stimuli so that the human senses of sight, sound, and touch are compromised. The use of flotation has been in use since its invention in 1977 by John C. Lilly, in which the participant floated in a warm water and Epsom salt solution (33). The individual floats supine in an enclosed or open vinyl-lined tank with no light and water heated to roughly skin temperature (34-35°C) by a waterbed heating system. Participants float with arms to

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery
their side to mitigate the sensation of touch via their hands against their body and the surrounding environment (13, 35). The tanks are not airtight and are filled with roughly 757.08 L of water mixed with 362.87 kg of Epsom salt so that the individual is capable of flotation. The water is filled with Epsom salt (Magnesium sulfate; $MgSO_4$) to a concentration (1.81 kg : 3.79 L) considerably higher than that of the Dead Sea, allowing for effortless flotation in a solution that does not cause skin irritation (12).

Flotation R.E.S.T. has been utilized for a variety of ailments that include chronic pain (16), anxiety (16), and hypertension (13,18,34). It has also been utilized as a stress management medium to lower blood pressure, heart rate, and increase muscle relaxation for an overall sense of better well being (11). However, no studies, to the current knowledge of the researchers, regarding flotation R.E.S.T. and recovery from intense exercise have been performed. This is important because flotation R.E.S.T. has previously shown decrements in heart rate (11), blood pressure (13,18,34) and lactate (31). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the effects of flotation R.E.S.T. on the recovery from an acute bout of strenuous eccentric knee extensions and flexions compared to a seated passive recovery. It was hypothesized that there would be a reduction in blood lactate, heart rate, perceived exertion and perceived pain with enhanced recovery of knee extensor and flexor isometric strength with greater alleviation of delayed on-set muscle soreness (DOMS) following a one hour session of flotation R.E.S.T. compared to a passive recovery period.

B. METHODS

Experimental Approach to the Problem

To investigate the effects of R.E.S.T. on recovery, a randomized cross-over design was employed where each participant acted as their own control. For purposes of this study, we

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

intended to use healthy, normal population individuals prior to including a specialized population of either unhealthy or athletic populations. These untrained males were asked to participate to determine if the experimental protocol will show a change in the normal population before testing a specialized population (i.e. athletes). Females were not included in this study due to the variation of hormone levels that takes place as part of the menstrual cycle, which may have interfered with the study such as altering glucose levels. Untrained participants underwent baseline anthropometric, performance and metabolic measurements followed by an eccentric muscle damaging protocol of the knee extensors and flexors. It is well established that unaccustomed eccentric exercise elicits DOMS (4,6,25). The muscle damaging protocol and the assessment of torque was conducted using the same device to increase the specificity of testing (36). Isometric strength testing occurred over the three days of in laboratory testing throughout both protocols to validate the outcome of the muscle damaging protocol. Blood lactate, blood glucose, and heart rate were measured prior to any testing, following the muscle damage protocol, and after the treatments to determine the effect of either the seated control, or the flotation R.E.S.T. treatments. To gauge metabolic strain and recovery from the muscle damaging protocol, glucose and lactate were measured. Furthermore, high accumulation of lactate is associated with muscle fatigue (2). Therefore, decreases in the amount of lactate may be indicative of better recovery and better performance as previous research has observed improvements in resistance exercise performance associated with lower lactate levels (1,9). Omni-Resistance rating of perceived exertion (OMNI-RPE) (28), perceived pain scale (8), and a one hundred (100) millimeter visual analogue scale to measure DOMS was utilized to determine the effect the treatments had upon the individual's perceptions of effort and soreness.

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

Subjects

Participants completed a detailed medical health history form to screen for any health issues that may prevent them from safely partaking in the study. Twenty-four healthy males (23.29 ± 2.1 yrs, 184.17 ± 6.85 cm, 85.16 ± 11.54 kg) from a large Midwestern university participated in this study. Participants were explained all procedures and were given time to ask questions regarding the study. Participants were college aged students who were screened for exercise habits and deemed untrained if they performed resistance exercises less than three days per week (17). Males who had a body mass index (BMI) greater than twenty-nine point nine (29.9) were excluded as those with a BMI of thirty and higher are categorized as obese and are at a significantly greater risk of cardiovascular disease, hypertension, poor cholesterol ratio, and mortality (10). Individuals were also excluded if they have had recent surgery, a history of any knee pathologies (i.e. ligament tears), or medical condition in regards to the knee (i.e. chronic hyper-extension of the knee, surgical alteration, or injury). Individuals who had a history of claustrophobia were also unable to participate due to the conditions of the experimental environment. Participants provided written informed consent after reading an informed consent document and having all questions answered regarding the study. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB).

Procedures

After receiving details of the study, participants signed an informed consent, completed a physical activity questionnaire, and completed an extensive medical health history questionnaire. Participants were familiarized with testing for all dependant variables: maximal isometric strength, heart rate, blood lactate, blood glucose, blood pressure, OMNI-RPE (28) and perceived

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

pain. Both experimental and control testing sessions took place on three consecutive days.

Participants returned one week after completing the first three day session for the second three day testing phase. Day one of the experimental and control sessions were completed in two hours, while the visits on days two and three were thirty minutes in duration. Participants were instructed to refrain from any physical activity three days prior to any testing, during the duration of the study, and to maintain routine diet and sleep patterns. A seven-day physical activity recall questionnaire was completed prior to beginning the study to determine if previous strenuous activity may hinder their muscular performance (30). Participants were also instructed to refrain from eating two hours prior to testing, including caffeine, and for the duration of the first day's testing session (2 hr). Prior to testing, standing height (Seca 220 Telescopic Height Rod, Seca Corporations, Hamburg, Germany), body weight (T500E Athletic Scale, A&A Scales LLC, Prospect Park, New Jersey, USA), heart rate (Polar Vantage XL, Polar Electro Inc., Lake Success, NY, USA), blood pressure (Omron Health Care, Inc, Bannockburn, IL, USA) , and simultaneous measurements of blood glucose and blood lactate (YSI 2300 Stat Plus Glucose/L-Lactate Analyzer, YSI Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA) took place for baseline data.

Participants performed a five-minute warm-up on a cycle ergometer at 50 rev/min prior to any muscular strength measurements. Initial isometric strength assessment took place to determine maximal force production of the knee extensor and flexor muscles of the non-dominant leg. All testing took place using the Humac Norm Testing and Rehabilitation System (CSMi, Stoughton, MA, USA). The machine was calibrated according to CSMi's user manual before each day of testing. The participants sat with the back angle of the chair set at 90° during the isometric knee extension and flexion strength assessment, as well as during the maximal eccentric knee extension and flexion exercise (27). The maximal isometric knee extension and

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

flexion strength assessment was performed three times with the knee flexed at 60° for both the knee extensors and flexors and held for five seconds to measure maximal isometric force of the extensors and flexors (20,22,24,37). Participants were asked OMNI-RPE and perceived pain. The participants were given a three-minute rest period after the initial strength assessment before performing a maximal eccentric knee extension and flexion exercise protocol (50 rep/60°s) (4). Blood glucose, blood lactate, and heart rate were measured following exercise. All participants were given water ad libitum immediately after the maximal eccentric knee extension and flexion exercise. Either the one hour seated passive recovery control, or the one hour flotation R.E.S.T. session took place followed by an assessment of strength, measurements of blood glucose, blood lactate, heart rate, OMNI-RPE, and perceived pain. During the seated control, participants were asked to remain seated in the Neuromuscular Laboratory under the supervision of the researcher and were allowed to use cellular devices, complete homework, or utilize a personal computer. Participants returned the following two days for isometric strength testing and measurements of OMNI-RPE, perceived pain, and DOMS using a one hundred millimeter visual analogue scale (VAS).

Flotation Tank

Participants entered a 844.15 L galvanized water tank that measured 2.13 m long, .76 m wide, and .61 m deep (Freeland Industries Inc., Portage, WI, USA). The galvanized water tank was filled with 529.96 L of water and mixed with 254.01 kg of Epsom salt (San Francisco Bath Salts Co., San Francisco, CA, USA). After the first ten uses of the tank, an additional 22.68 kg of salt was added to maintain the proper salinity of tank due to salt being lost when participants exit

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

the tank as it adheres to the body and hair. Brief showers were required before and after treatments.

The room in which the tank was held was dark during treatment and in level of the building to keep noise to a minimum. A Green Ecology Home (model GW 302) three stage ultraviolet light treated filtration system (SunSun Industry Co., Ltd, China) was utilized to aid in sanitation of the sterile Epsom salt and water solution, while an eight hundred watt True Temp heating system with digital controller (JBJ USA Aquarium Products, Inglewood, CA, USA) created a water temperature of 34°C-35°C. The heating system was removed during all flotation sessions so that the participants did not burn themselves on the titanium heating rod. Average water temperature was 34.8°C.

Two space heaters, the Sunbeam Compact Heater (model SFH111-wm) (Jardon Corp., Rye, NY, USA) and the Patton Milkhouse Utility Heater (model POH-680) (Jardon Corp., Rye, NY, USA) were utilized to help heat the room to 26.6°C to help maintain. The temperature of the room was measured utilizing the Davis Perception II Weather Station (Davis Instruments Corp., Hayward, CA, USA). The lights adjacent rooms were turned off during the flotation session with a small light in the corner if the participant needed to exit the tank early. A researcher was in an adjacent room during the flotation session to provide privacy for the participant, while still supervising the experiment utilizing the MobiCam AV (model 70060) infant monitor equipped with night vision (Mobi Technologies, Inc., Culver City, CA, USA). Prior to entering a tank, each participant was read a script giving them instructions and informing them of video monitoring before their one hour flotation R.E.S.T. session.

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis consisted of a multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) to determine if there were any statistically reliable mean differences between the independent variables for multiple dependent variables using baseline measurements as covariates. Dependent variables included muscle strength (N·m), blood glucose (mg/dl), blood lactate (mmol/L), heart rate (bpm), pain intensity, and OMNI-RPE. Each variable was analyzed during specific time periods (e.g. post exercise, post treatment, 24 hrs, 48 hrs). A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was utilized to determine if any significant differences of DOMS occurred between the two categorical independent groups. Statistical significance was set $p < .05$ for all analyses. Statistical analyses were completed using SPSS Statistics 19.0 Premium (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

C. RESULTS

Baseline values for muscle strength, OMNI-RPE, and perceived pain were calculated as the average of six measurements taken on two separate days separated by one week. The baseline values for blood glucose and blood lactate are averages of four measurements taken on two separate days separated by one week. Heart rate values are averages of two measurements taken before exercise on two separate days separated by one week. See Table 1.

(TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE)

Muscle Strength

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

The MANCOVA did not reveal a significant main effect for treatment with either the knee extension or flexion measures. A follow-up univariate ANOVA revealed a significant main effect for the post treatment knee extension ($F_{1,45} = 4.629$, $p = .037$, $\eta^2 = .093$). Power to detect the effect was .558. No statistical significance was observed at any of the subsequent time intervals. The mean torque elicited by the knee extensors was greater during the control than the flotation treatment at the post treatment interval (19.12 N·m; Control 242.12 ± 65.46 vs Float 223 ± 58.03), as well as the 24 hrs interval (10.58 N·m; Control 239.26 ± 55.74 vs Float 228.68 ± 54.45). The 48 hrs interval showed a greater mean torque value for the flotation treatment (7.88 N·m; Float 236.93 ± 71.32 vs Control 229.05 ± 56.98). See Table 2. No statistical significance was observed for any of the knee flexion measures during follow-up ANOVA tests.

(TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE)

(FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE)

(FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE)

Metabolic Variables

A MANCOVA revealed a significant multivariate main effect for treatment on blood lactate (Wilks' $\lambda = .768$, $F_{5,42} = 2.479$, $p = .023$, $\eta^2 = .232$). Power to detect the effect was .776. Therefore, the hypothesis concerning lactate is confirmed. A follow up univariate ANOVA revealed that the treatment had a statistically significant effect upon blood lactate levels immediately following the treatment ($F_{1,45} = 10.356$, $p = .002$, $\eta^2 = .187$). Power to detect the effect was .883. No other statistical significance was observed. Mean blood lactate immediately following the flotation treatment was .66 mmol/L lower than that of the seated control (Float $1.11 \pm .27$ vs Control $1.77 \pm .98$), but blood lactate was found to be higher than the control

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery treatment 24 hrs (.2 mmol/L; Float $1.62 \pm .55$ vs Control $1.42 \pm .52$) and 48 hrs (.12 mmol/L; Float $1.44 \pm .64$ vs Control $1.32 \pm .36$) following flotation treatment. See Table 3. The MANCOVAs performed on blood glucose and heart rate did not show a significant main effect for treatment, but statistical significance when performing a follow-up ANOVA was observed for 24-hour post treatment heart rate ($F_{1,45} = 4.361$, $p = .042$, $\eta^2 = .088$). Power to detect the effect was .533.

(TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE)

Perceptual Scales

MANCOVAs performed on OMNI-RPE did not reveal any significant effect for either the knee extension or the knee flexion variables. A follow-up ANOVA revealed a significant effect on pain perception during knee extension at the post treatment interval ($F_{1,45} = 7.004$, $p = .011$, $\eta^2 = .135$) with a power of .736. Mean pain level during knee extension following flotation was lower by .57 (Float $.46 \pm .72$ vs Control 1.03 ± 1.42) on the 0-10 scale. Conditions were not significantly different at any other time point. The MANOVA revealed no significance regarding DOMS between treatments.

D. DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to determine the effects of flotation R.E.S.T. after an acute bout of strenuous eccentric knee extensions and flexions. We measured knee extension and flexion, blood lactate, heart rate, perceived exertion and perceived pain. Researchers hypothesized a reduction in extensors and flexors with greater alleviation of delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS) following a one hour flotation R.E.S.T. condition compared to the

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

seated passive recovery period. DOMS was measured over six days following the muscle fatigue protocol, while other variables were assessed following the immediate one hour recovery period.

Statistical analyses found significance between the type of treatment and the immediate post treatment mean values for knee extension force production (N·m). The mean force production elicited by the knee extensors was greater during the control than the flotation treatment by 8% and was deemed significant during the immediate post treatment. It appears from this study that force production of the knee extensors is somehow compromised after a one hour flotation R.E.S.T. session. This may be due to an increased state of relaxation stemming from floating with minimal environmental stimulation (11,13,16,35). Indeed, the reduction in environmental stimuli that is experienced during flotation R.E.S.T. has been utilized as a stress management tool with the primary goal of increased muscle relaxation (11).

The metabolic variable blood lactate shows a response similar to those seen in previous research (31) and expected physiological responses. Mean blood lactate was significantly different at the post treatment time point. It appeared that blood lactate had a steady decrease throughout the control treatment. Lowered blood lactate following flotation R.E.S.T. is consistent with previous research (31) where a 25% decrease in blood lactate was observed following a one hour flotation session without exercise prior to flotation.

Blood glucose had an average decrease of 11.33 mg/dl from baseline when measured after the flotation session as compared to 7.84 mg/dl mean change after the seated control. The difference, while not significant, may be explained by the lack of sympathetic nervous system stimulation (11). Specifically, the lack of arousal in the sympathetic nervous system may hinder epinephrine secretion, which stimulates glucose production. Therefore, the liver may not be able to readily produce and release glucose into the blood stream. Another potential consideration is

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

the increased need of glucose by the brain, as it has been suggested that increased oxygen and glucose could be available to the brain during flotation R.E.S.T. allowing for the increased state of relaxation and enhanced mood (31). Glucose metabolism of the brain during Rapid Eye Movement stage of sleep, a state similar to the profound relation achieved during flotation R.E.S.T., is similar to that of wakefulness (21). Fourteen of the twenty-four (58.3%) subjects fell asleep during the hour long flotation session, while all were awake during the control. The quality of sleep was not determined, but is of interest for further exploration. Coupled with the decrease in sympathetic nervous activity, it may be possible that cerebral glucose utilization further augments the decrement in blood glucose.

It is likely that a lack of sensory stimuli in the flotation R.E.S.T. treatment dampens arousal of the central nervous system thus leading to an increased state of relaxation (31). While this is ideal for an individual trying to achieve this rested state, it does have the consequence of dampening the one's sense of proprioception, which provides information about the body's physical position and motion and is essential to athletic performance (26). Proprioception is a function of the somatosensory system, which allows stimuli to travel to the central nervous system to be processed (26). As proprioception is likely compromised during flotation R.E.S.T., it is possible that those being treated with this procedure may not be able to produce large amounts of force in the immediate post treatment period. Indeed, this is what was observed in the current study for the knee extensors and may also be true for the knee flexors even though no significance was seen between treatments. In short, the combined effects of decreased proprioception and impaired motor control from a lack of stimuli to the central nervous system (31) may explain the significantly lower mean value of the knee extensors and knee flexors during the post treatment measurements.

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

The mean rate of perceived exertion values were not deemed significant, but it is noted that mean rates of perceived exertion appear to be slightly lower for the knee extension and knee flexion during the flotation treatment. This may be attributed to enhanced feelings of relaxation that were witnessed in previous literature (16,31). Pain intensity values were statistically significant treatments at the post treatment measurement. The reduction in muscle pain is congruent with other studies that have shown reductions in pain for several hours after R.E.S.T. treatment in patients with chronic whiplash disorders (12,35).

DOMS, as measured by the visual analogue scale, was greater during all of the control treatment measurements except the forty-eight hour post measurement. The strenuous resistance exercise protocol had the expected effect on soreness as DOMS peaked forty-eight hours following both treatments and began to subside in the remaining days. However, no significant difference between treatments was observed for DOMS, but soreness was evidently lower for many of the participants. Upon returning to the laboratory following the flotation treatment, participants stated (unprompted) they felt less sore in the days after the flotation than during the seated control. As stated above, flotation R.E.S.T. has been effectively utilized as a pain control technique and to treat those with chronic pain (16,35). It is conceivable that such reductions in pain are related to enhanced relaxation experienced during flotation R.E.S.T.. While this experiment was not designed for pain control, the added analgesic effect of flotation R.E.S.T. may provide additional rationale for the use of this technique. While no research has been found regarding flotation and perceived soreness with elite athletes, according to various web pages (i.e. floattank.com, thefloatcenter.com) professional athletes have claimed use of flotation to enhance recovery and improve performance

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

This study provides novel data on the physiological and psychological responses to flotation R.E.S.T.; however, the data is not without limitations. The primary limitation of this study was the small sample size, which resulted in low statistical power. Also, it was not within the scope of this study to control participants' behavior outside of the laboratory (e.g., food consumption, overall activity, and amount of sleep), which may have impacted study results. It should be noted that strength data was not collected for the post exercise period, alternatively, the time frame prior to the commencement of either the seated or flotation treatments. Lastly, potential apprehension of participants prior to entering the flotation tank may have caused interference with the accuracy of data collected. Every participant was inexperienced with flotation R.E.S.T. prior to this study.

Conclusion

Results of this study indicate that flotation R.E.S.T. appears to have a significant effect on blood lactate. We found a decrease in blood lactate following a one hour flotation R.E.S.T. session compared to a one hour seated condition, which potentially allows for enhanced muscle recovery. Lowered torque production of the knee extensor muscles was observed following flotation, which may be explained by the lack of sensory stimuli and perhaps concomitant decline in proprioception and decreased motor control. Our data also suggests that individuals suffering from lingering muscle pain may also benefit from flotation R.E.S.T. Based upon this study, DOMS was not alleviated at a quicker rate following flotation. However, the inflammatory response causing pain during DOMS (7) possibly may have been dampened by the absorption of magnesium sulfate ($MgSO_4$) across the skin. Therefore, it appears from this study

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

that flotation R.E.S.T. may be utilized for acute recovery from strenuous exercise, but does not have any significant effect on alleviating DOMS.

E. PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Flotation R.E.S.T. may be utilized as an alternative method of recovery following strenuous and exhaustive exercise of the knee extensors and flexors by enhancing muscle recovery by decreasing high levels of lactate. Athletes who have experienced micro-injury and who are in an increased state of pain may also find pain alleviation following flotation R.E.S.T., which was observed in the current study and in several previous studies (12, 16, 29). The perception of pain may be decreased for several hours following a one hour flotation condition, or even several months when administered on a consistent basis (29), which may give an athlete the confidence to play in the upcoming game, or event. While it is not recommended to play through a serious injury, which unfortunately is observed on a regular basis in collegiate and professional sport, flotation R.E.S.T. may provide a less risky alternative than other interventions. However, this method of pain relief as a pre-exercise, or pre-competition, agent has not yet been studied and needs to be further investigated as our data suggests that force production immediately after R.E.S.T. treatment may be dampened. Recent literature has also displayed how chronic stress negatively impacts many physiological mechanisms involved in the recovery process (32). Athletes and the normal population may be able to utilize flotation R.E.S.T. after sustaining musculoskeletal injury to aide in the alleviation of pain and perhaps enhance recovery. This new literature may warrant further investigation regarding the utilization of various relaxation, or stress relieving methods, upon the psychological and physiological mechanisms associated with the recovery phase.

5. REFERENCES

1. Ahmaidi, S, Granier, P, Taoutaou, Z, Mercier, J, Dubouchaud, H, and Prefaut, C. Effects of active recovery on plasma lactate and anaerobic power following repeated intensive exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 28: 450-456, 1995.
2. Allen, DG, Lamb, GD, and Westerblad, H. Skeletal muscle fatigue: cellular mechanisms. *Phys Rev* 88: 287-332, 2008.
3. Barnett, A. Using recovery modalities between training sessions in elite athletes: does it help?. *Sports Med* 36: 781-796, 2006.
4. Brown, SJ, Child, RB, Day, SH, and Donnelly, AE. Exercise induced skeletal muscle damage and adaptation following repeated bouts of eccentric muscle contractions. *J Sports Sci* 15: 215-222, 1997.
5. Cairns, SP. Lactic acid and exercise performance: culprit or friend? *Sports Med* 36: 279-291, 2006.
6. Clarkson, PM, and Tremblay, I. Exercise induced muscle damage, repair, and adaptation in humans. *J App Physiol* 65: 1-6, 1988.
7. Connolly, D, Sayers, SP, and McHugh, MP. Treatment and prevention of delayed onset muscle soreness. *J Strength Cond Res* 17: 197-208, 2003.
8. Cook, DB, O'Conner, PJ, Eubanks, SA, Smith, JC, and Lee, M. Naturally occurring muscle pain during exercise: assessment and experimental evidence. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 28: 999-1012, 1997.
9. Corder, KP, Potteiger, JA, Nau, KL, Figoni, SF, and Hershberger, SL. Effects of active and passive recovery conditions on blood lactate, rating of perceived exertion, and performance during resistance exercise. *J Strength Cond Res* 14: 151-156, 2000.
10. Despres, JP and Lemieux, I. Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Nature* 444: 881-887, 2006.
11. Dierendonck, DV and Nijehuis, JT. Flotation restricted environmental stimulation therapy (rest) as a stress-management tool: a meta-analysis. *Psych Health* 20: 405-412, 2005.
12. Edebol, H, Bood, SA, and Norlander, T. Chronic whiplash-associated disorders and their treatment using flotation-rest (restricted environmental stimulation technique). *Qual Health Res* 18: 480-488, 2008.

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

13. Fine, TH and Turner Jr, JW. The Effect of brief restricted environmental stimulation therapy in the treatment of essential hypertension. *Behaviour Res Therapy*, 20: 567-570, 1982
14. Gevers, W. Generation of protons by metabolic processes in heart cells. *Molec Cellular Cardio* 9: 867-874, 1977.
15. Gill, ND, Beaven, CM, and Cook, C. Effectiveness of post-match recovery strategies in rugby players. *British J Sports Med* 40: 260-263, 2005.
16. Kjellgren, A, Sundequist, U, Norlander, T, and Archer, T. Effects of flotation-rest on muscle tension pain. *Pain Res Management* 6: 181-189, 2001.
17. Kraemer, WJ and Ratamess, NA. Fundamentals of resistance training: progression and exercise prescription. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 36: 647-688, 2004
18. Kristeller, JL, Schwartz, GE, and Black, H. The use of restricted environmental stimulation therapy (rest) in the treatment of essential hypertension: two case studies. *Behaviour Res Therapy* 20: 561-566, 1982.
19. Lane, KN and Wenger, HA. Effect of selected recovery conditions on performance of repeated bouts of intermittent cycling separated by 24 hours. *J Strength Cond Res* 18: 855-860, 2004.
20. Lunnen, JD, Yack, J, and LeVeau, B. Relationship between muscle length, muscle activity, and torque of the hamstring muscles. *Phys Ther* 61: 190-195, 1981.
21. Maquet, P, Dive, D, Salmon, E, Sadzot, B, Franco, G, Poirrier, R, and Franck, G. Cerebral glucose utilization during sleep-wake cycle in man determined by position emission tomography and [¹⁸F]2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose method. *Brain Res* 513: 136-143, 1990.
22. McQuoid, KA, Edmonds, JB, MacPhail, CM, and McGibbon, CA. Reliability of lower-extremity isokinetic dynamometry testing of the ankle, knee and hip: board #116 May 30 2:00pm – 3:30 pm. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 39: S257, 2007.
23. Monedero, J and Donne, B. Effect of recovery interventions on lactate removal and subsequent performance. *Int J Sports Med* 21: 593-597, 2000.
24. Murry, MP, Baldwin, JM, Gardner, GM, Sepic, SB, and Downs, SJ. Maximum isometric knee flexor and extensor muscle contractions: normal patterns of torque versus time. *Phys Ther* 57: 637-643, 1977.
25. Nosaka, K and Clarkson, PM. Muscle damage following repeated bouts of high force eccentric exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 27: 1263-1269, 1995.

Effects of Flotation Restricted Environmental Stimulation Technique on Recovery

26. Ogard, WK. Proprioception in sports medicine and athletic conditioning. *Strength Cond J* 33: 111-118, 2011.
27. Prou, E, Guevel, A, Benezet, P, and Marini, JF. Exercise-induced muscle damage: absence of adaptive effect after a single session of eccentric heavy resistance exercise. *J Sports Med Phys Fit* 39: 226-232, 1999.
28. Robertson, RJ, Goss, FL, Rutkowski, J, Lenz, B, Dixon, C, Timmer, J, Andreacci, J. Concurrent validation of the omni perceived exertion scale for resistance exercises. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 35: 333-341, 2009.
29. Rogan, A, Morris, T, and Gibbons, P. Pain management in osteopathic medicine: the efficacy of flotation rest as an adjunct to spinal manipulation for acute non-specific low back pain. *J Osteo Med* 4: 25-30, 2001.
30. Sallis, JF, Haskell, WL, Wood, PD, Fortmann, SP, Rogers, T, Blair, SN, and Paffenbarger, RS. Physical activity assessment methodology in the five-city project. *Am J Epidemiol* 121: 91-106, 1985.
31. Schultz, P and Kaspar, CH. Neuroendocrine and psychological effects of restricted environmental stimulation technique in a flotation tank. *Biological Psych* 37: 161-175, 1994.
32. Stults-Kolehmaninen, MA and Bartholomew, JB. Psychological stress impairs short-term muscular recovery from resistance exercise. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 44(11): 2220-7, 2012.
33. Suedfeld, P, and Coren, S. Perceptual isolation, sensory deprivation, and rest: moving introductory psychology texts out of the 1950's. *Canadian Psych* 30: 17-29, 1989.
34. Suedfeld, P, Roy, C, and Landon, PB. Restricted environmental stimulation therapy in the treatment of essential hypertension. *Behaviour Res and Therapy* 20: 553-559, 1982.
35. Turner, JW and Fine, TH. Effects of relaxation associated the brief restricted environmental stimulation therapy (rest) on plasma cortisol, acth, and lh. *Biofeedback Self Regulation* 8: 115-126, 1983.
36. Warren, GL, Lowe, DA, and Armstrong, RB. Measurement tools used in the study of eccentric contraction-induced injury. *Sports Med* 27: 43-59, 1999.
37. Worrell, TW, Perrin, DH, and Denegar, CR. The influence of hip position on quadriceps and hamstring peak torque and reciprocal muscle group ratio values. *J Ortho Sports Phys Ther* 11: 104-107, 1989.
38. Yanagisawa, O, Miyanaga, Y, Shiraki, H, Shimojo, H, Mukai, N, Niitsu, M, and Itai, Y. The effects of various therapeutic measures on shoulder strength and muscle soreness after baseball pitching. *J Sports Med Phys Fit* 43: 189-201, 2003.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Corres Author: Paul M Morgan
260 Cimmeron Trail
Glendora, CA 91741
(916) 622-0196
PaulMinWooKimMorgan@gmail.com

No funding was provided for this study. The results of the present study do not constitute endorsement of any product involved in this study by the authors or the NSCA.

ACCEPTED

7. FIGURE LEGENDS

Table 1: Baseline data for passive recovery and flotation R.E.S.T. conditions

Table 2: Mean and SD of muscle strength variables

Table 3: Mean and SD of metabolic variables

Figure 1: Knee extension (N•m) of passive recovery and flotation R.E.S.T. conditions

Figure 2: Knee flexion (N•m) of passive recovery and flotation R.E.S.T. conditions

Attached Powerpoint

ACCEPTED

Table 1. Baseline Values for Passive Recovery and Flotation R.E.S.T. Conditions, <i>N</i> =24	
Variables	Mean ± SD*
Muscle Strength Extension (N·m)	232.16 ± 54.05
Muscle Strength Flexion (N·m)	112.32 ± 24.39
Blood Glucose (mg/dl)	86.99 ± 11.1
Blood Lactate (mmol/L)	1.50 ± .34
Heart Rate (bpm)	73.73 ± 7.01
Rating of Perceived Exertion Knee Extension	6.03 ± 1.8
Rating of Perceived Exertion Knee Flexion	6.06 ± 1.86
Level of Perceived Pain Knee Extension	.41 ± .66
Level of Perceived Pain Knee Flexion	.35 ± .54
*Values averaged from two series of baseline measurements	

Table 2. Muscle Strength Knee Extension and Flexion (N·m), <i>N</i> =24		
Variables	Treatment	Mean ± SD
Baseline Extension		232.16 ± 54.05
Post Treatment Extension*	Control	242.12 ± 65.46
	Float	223.00 ± 58.03
24-Hour Post Treatment Extension	Control	239.26 ± 55.74
	Float	228.68 ± 54.45
48-Hour Post Treatment Extension	Control	229.05 ± 56.98
	Float	236.93 ± 71.32
Baseline Flexion		112.32 ± 24.39
Post Treatment Flexion	Control	98.81 ± 30.91
	Float	95.79 ± 29.93
24-Hour Post Treatment Flexion	Control	101.08 ± 26.7
	Float	100.95 ± 28.37
48-Hour Post Treatment Flexion	Control	99.74 ± 31.89
	Float	100.97 ± 37.14

* $p < .05$

Table 3. Metabolic Variables of Blood Glucose (mg/dl) and Blood Lactate (mmol/L), N=24		
Variables	Treatment	Mean ± SD
Baseline Glucose		86.99 ± 11.1
Post Exercise Glucose	Control	79.48 ± 10.76
	Float	78.39 ± 4.41
Post Treatment Glucose	Control	79.15 ± 8.98
	Float	75.66 ± 7.46
24-Hour Post Treatment Glucose	Control	82.79 ± 11.92
	Float	84.59 ± 14.84
48-Hour Post Treatment Glucose	Control	80.91 ± 7.41
	Float	83.56 ± 14.44
Baseline Lactate		1.50 ± .34
Post Exercise Lactate	Control	2.87 ± 1.21
	Float	2.94 ± 1.04
Post Treatment Lactate*	Control	1.77 ± .98
	Float	1.11 ± .27
24-Hour Post Treatment Lactate	Control	1.42 ± .52
	Float	1.62 ± .55
48-Hour Post Treatment Lactate	Control	1.32 ± .36
	Float	1.44 ± .64

Figure 1. Knee Extension (N•m) of Passive Recovery and Flotation R.E.S.T., $N=24$




Figure 2. Knee Flexion (N•m) of Passive Recovery and Flotation R.E.S.T., N=24

